

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2378 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -3013 Year- 2014 Thana -PURNIA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PURNIA

=====

Indrajit Chouhan, S/o Sri Ram Prasad Chouhan, R/o Village- Babusthan,
Sukhanagar, P.S.- Raghampur, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Punam Devi, W/o Indrajit Chouhan, D/o Jai Narayan Mahto, R/o Village-
Ranibari, P.S.- Krityanand Nagar, Srinagar, District- Purnea.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Kumar Mehta, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Narsingh Tanti, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

5 04-09-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No. 3013 of 2014, registered under Section 498A of
the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Sub-Divisional
Judicial Magistrate, Purnea.

The accusation is of torturing the complainant-opposite
party no. 2 by her husband and in-laws due to non-fulfillment of
demand of dowry and also to remove from her matrimonial house.
Later on, the complainant-opposite party no. 2 came to know that
petitioner has already performed the marriage with another lady.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner is ready to keep his wife-opposite party no. 2 with full dignity and honour but the complainant-opposite party no. 2 is not ready to live with this petitioner.

Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 submits that, in fact, on the joint prayer of complainant-opposite party no. 2 and the petitioner, the matter was referred to the Mediation Centre, Patna High Court, Patna for settlement of dispute in between the petitioner and the complainant-opposite party no. 2, where due to non-cooperation of petitioner and the complainant-opposite party no. 2 the dispute could not be resolved.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of anticipatory bail stands rejected. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial court within four weeks and pray for regular bail, which would be considered by the trial court in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

