

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.25776 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -63 Year- 2017 Thana -MURLIGANJ District- MADHEPURA

=====

Rajiv Ranjan Son of Shri Manoj Kumar, Resident of Village-Sahugarh,
Police Station-Madhepura, District-Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Arti Kumari, Daughter of Sikandar Yadav, Wife of Rajiv Ranjan,
Resident of Village-Bishunpur, Police Station-Muriganj, District-
Madhepura, Presently Living at Village-Bishanpur, Post Officer-Parwa
Navtoli, Police Station-Murliganj, District-Madhepura.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Informant.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Murliganj P.S. Case No. 63 of 2017 registered under Sections
341, 448, 379, 504, 506, 34 of the I.P.C. and ¾ of the Dowry
Prohibition Act pending in the Court of C.J.M., Madhepua.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is
that petitioner, who happens to be the husband, is innocent and has
falsely been implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant
vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner with submission
that there is direct allegation against the petitioner and in-laws



family members, who brutally assaulted the informant with intention to kill her and there is sufficient material in several paragraphs of the case diary to support the prosecution version. The informant-wife is also not ready to live with her husband.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of the allegation, I am not inclined to allow the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this application is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

