

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.29298 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -62 Year- 2017 Thana -BAJPATTI District- SITAMARHI

- =====
1. PUNITA DEVI @ PUNITA PATHAK @ PUNITA, wife of Krishna Kant Pathak,
 2. Krishna Kant Pathak, son of late Sayug Pathak, both residents of village- Mahuayn, Police Station- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narsingh Tanti, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 25-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the State.

The Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Bajpatti P.S. Case No.62 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 341, 323, 498-A, 363, 504, 506 Indian Penal Code and Section 34 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Petitioners are parents-in-law of daughter of the informant.

From the allegation in the written report itself, it appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against both these petitioners.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Bajpatti P.S. Case No.62 of 2017, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pupari, Sitamarhi, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

