IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8725 of 2017

==========

Amit Kumar, son of Rajmani Singh, resident of Village- Dulha Bigha, P.O.- Tekari, District- Gaya (Bihar).

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Registrar of Companies, Jaipur, G/6-7 Second Floor, Residency Area, Civil Lines, Jaipur (Raj Ashthan).
2. The Chairman, Bulmen Marketing Private Limited, 250/27 Pratap Enclave, Pratapnagar, Saganer, Jaipur (Raj Ashthan).
3. The Director, Bulmen Marketing Private Limited 250/27 Pratap Enclave, Pratapnagar, Saganer, Jaipur (Raj Asthan).
4. The Franchisee Director, Bulmen Marketing Private Limited 72/259 Vinayakpath Mansarover, Jaipur (Raj Ashthan).
5. The Accountant, Bulmen Marketing Private Limited 104/105 Sector- 6, Haldhighati Marg, Pratapnagar, Jaipur (Raj Asthan).

.... Respondents

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ful Man Singh, Adv.
For the Union of India : Mr. Nivedita Nirvikar, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 08-09-2017 Heard the parties.

This writ petition is not maintainable as though the Government has been made a party but the relief has been sought against the respondent nos.2 to 5, all are private persons.

The petitioner is claiming that the cheques, which were given by the respondents, have returned bounce. For that, the petitioner should take steps in accordance with law.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as not maintainable.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

U			

WEB COPY