

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8710 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -78 Year- 2016 Thana -TARARI District- BHOJPUR

=====

Chandrama Singh & Anr

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramashray Roy

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajendra Nath Jha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-02-2017 Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner no.1 has been arrested and seeks permission to withdraw this application with regard to petitioner no.1.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail with regard to petitioner No.1 is withdrawn.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Trarai Police Station Case No. 78 of 2016, disclosing offences under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to village



politics. Though there is allegation of assault by means of lathi, danda and iron rod but there is no specific allegation against the petitioner. The petitioner is man of clean antecedent. Hence, the petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of this petitioner and submitted that witnesses have supported the prosecution version, which is evident from paragraph nos. 6, 7, 8 and 16 of the case diary.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

