

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3195 of 2015

=====

Ran Vijay Kumar, Proprietor M/s H.N. Tours & Travels Son of Sri Nagendra Sharma Resident of P.G. Road , Near Bus Stand, Makhdumpur, District - Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Collector, District Jehanabad.
3. District Programme Officer, Sarva Siksha Abhiyan, Jehanabad.
4. District Education Officer, Sarva Siksha Abhiyan, Jehanabad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Binay Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar, A.C. to G.P.-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-01-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the present case, the petitioner has raised a grievance that there was an agreement for providing a vehicle for the period 01.11.2013 to 30.10.2014 on specified feature and condition, a letter dated 13.10.2014 was issued that if the petitioner is interested, he may provide the vehicle in the old rate and he must send a proposal for the same. In pursuance thereof, the petitioner sent a proposal but, thereafter, no such follow up information has been received. Under the agreement in between the parties, the petitioner provided the vehicle, as per the agreed rate, the petitioner submitted the bill of Rs. 1,79,487/- and, out of that, only Rs. 53,642/- has been paid to him



and, after that, he is moving around without any success.

In that view of the matter, the District Magistrate, Jehanabad is directed to examine the case of the petitioner, on enquiry it is found that the petitioner is entitled to more amount, that should be released in his favour without delay preferably within a period of two months from the date of filing of an application by the petitioner along with a copy of this order. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad, while disposing of the case of the petitioner, will also examine that in the entire counter affidavit filed in this case, the respondents have not disputed the amount, in question.

With the aforementioned observation and direction, this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.01.2017
Transmission Date	

