

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1295 of 2016

=====

Kumari Shiwani Verma, D/o Vijay Kumar, Resident of Village+P.O. Purhara,
P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad (Bihar), Pin Code- 824120

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Home Secretary (Police), Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
3. The D.G.P. Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
4. The D.I.G. Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
5. The Secretary, Central Selection Board of Constable (C.S.B.C.), Computer Bhawan, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna Golf Club, Patna-8000023

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Singh, Sr.Adv.
For the State : Mr. S.K.Sharma, AC to AAG-3
For the C.S.B.C. : Mr.Sanjay Pandey, Adv.
Mr.Binod Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 05-12-2017

Writ application of the appellant was dismissed on 21.04.2016 refusing to give any direction upon the respondent authorities to appoint the appellant on the post of Constable.

The primary reason for dismissal of the writ application was failure on the part of the appellant to produce the original matriculation certificate for verification of the date of birth which admittedly was not produced. The documentation which were furnished had some variation in the date of birth leading to rejection



of the candidature.

Since every candidate was categorically informed that the original certificates had to be produced for verification of the date of birth, name, father’s name etc., the failure on the part of a candidate will amount to rejection of the candidature and same cannot form a basis for compelling the respondents to consider appointing her on the post of Constable specially when the appointments have already been made and completed and thereafter also other advertisements have been issued and appointments made. The appellant-petitioner cannot be rewarded for her own failure.

Appeal has no merit. It is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	06.12.2017
Transmission Date	

