

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1511 of 2015

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15279 of 2001

=====

The Bihar State Housing Board, through its Managing Director , 6,Mangles,
Road, Patna.

... .. Respondents-Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the secretary to Government in the Department of Housing Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Patna.
3. The Patna Secretariat Ministerial Officer's Cooperative House Construction Society Ltd. Patna through its Secretary Sri Mahendra Pd. Sharma, 109, Weaker Section, Lohia Nagar P.s Kankarbagh in the town and district Patna.
Respondents-Respondents 1st Set.
4. The Patna Regional Development Authority, through its Vice Chairman Maurya Lok , Patna.
5. Patna Municipal Corporation, through its Municipal Commissioner.
6. Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation Patna.
.... Respondents- Respondents 2nd Set.
7. Madheshwar Prasad Singh, S/o not known to the Petitioner, resident of A/4 Sachivalaya colony , P.S Kankarbagh in the town and District of Patna.
8. Binoy Kumar Singh, s/o late Rajeshwari Pd. Singh, B/57, Sachivalaya colony , P.S. Kankarbagh in the town and district of Patna.
... Respondents- Respondents 3rd Set.
9. Rewati Raman Prasad, son of late Kartik Prasad, at present resident of Kankarbagh ,P.s Kankarbagh, in the Town and District of Patna.
10. Munindra Bhushan Prasad, son of Late Bhuwaneshwar Prasad, at present resident of 5/182, Hanuman Nagar, P.S Kankarbagh, in the Town and District of Patna.

... .. Respondents- Respondents 4th Set.

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Anshuman Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prasoon Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

10 28-11-2017 Considering the fact that the direction issued by the

learned Writ Court in the matter is to the erstwhile Patna

Regional Development Authority now under the Patna

Municipal Corporation and the Patna Municipal Corporation is



not at all aggrieved by the aforesaid order passed and have not challenged the same, at the instance of the appellant, we are not inclined to interfere into the matter. The appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

