

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4999 of 2015**

=====

Rakesh Kumar Singh, son of Sri Kameshwar Singh, resident of Flat No. 201,  
Sameshwari Apartment, Subhjit Path, Pandui Kothi, P.S. Budha Colony, Patna 13.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, Ministry of Railways, through Chairman, Railway Board,  
Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. Director Traffic Commercial (G) Railway Board Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Director Finance Accounts, Railway Board, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
4. General Manager, East Central Railway, Hajipur.
5. Divisional Manager, East Central Railway, Hajipur.
6. F.A. & C.A.O. East Central Railway, Hajipur.
7. Chief Medical Superintendent, East Central Railway, Danapur.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Jha, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, Advocate  
Mr. Ashish Sinha, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

**Date: 27-03-2017**

*Inter alia* contending that the Ministry of Railway took  
a policy decision vide Annexure-1 on 2<sup>nd</sup> of December, 2014 to  
convert 50 major Railway Stations identified throughout the country  
for the purpose of integrated cleanliness through outsourcing to  
provisional/reputed agencies and *inter alia* contending that contrary to  
the scheme outsourcing of cleaning work in the Patna Junction  
Railway Station has been granted to unidentified and inexperienced  
persons this writ petition has been filed in public interest.



2. From the counter affidavit filed by the Railway administration it is seen that in accordance to the scheme tenders were floated and based on the process of tendering, the best available offer has been accepted and contract awarded.

3. Taking note of the nature of grievance made by the petitioner and considering the fact that Annexure-1 is only a policy guideline issued by the Railway administration, in the matter of issuance of contract, we see no reason to invoke our extraordinary jurisdiction, particularly in a petition filed in public interest by the petitioner. Petitioner may ventilate his grievance with the Ministry of Railway or the Railway Board and they are the authorities who may look into the matter. We are not inclined to interfere into the matter.

4. The writ petition stands dismissed.

**(Rajendra Menon, CJ)**

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

P.K.P.

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | N.A.F.R.   |
| CAV DATE          | N.A.       |
| Uploading Date    | 28.03.2017 |
| Transmission Date |            |

