

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12614 of 2015

=====

1. M/s Raushan Raj, Mithanpura, Muzaffarpur through its Prop. Chandra Kishore Bhagat S/o Shri Raghunath Bhagat R/o Village- Sadbhagwan Nagar, Near Vaishali Institute and Business and Management College, Mithanpur Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur. null null
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.
4. The Block Development Officer, Bochaha, District Muzaffarpur.
5. The Block Development officer, Marwan, District Muzaffarpur.
6. The Block Development officer, Meenapur, District Muzaffarpur.
7. The Block Development officer, Saraiya, District Muzaffarpur.
8. The Block Development officer, Sakra, District Muzaffarpur.
9. The Block Development officer, Paroo, District Muzaffarpur.
10. The Block Development officer, Mushari, District Muzaffarpur.
11. The Block Development officer, Kanti, District Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra,
Mr. D.K. Mishra, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. K. Kumar, SC-9
Mr. Zaki Haider, AC to SC-9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 16-01-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In the present writ petition, petitioner is challenging
the order dated 5.12.2014 passed by the Deputy Development
Commissioner, Muzaffarpur by which he has rejected the
claim of the petitioner.

Petitioner has supplied computers for the purposes of



census. Admitted dues have been paid to the petitioner but has claimed that he has not been paid the amount proportionate to the computers which has been given to the department for the aforesaid purpose. It has been stated for making proper payment he has filed an application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that computers were kept by the department and for that petitioner is also entitled to the amount whereas learned counsel for the State submits that even after writing letter to the petitioner to take back the computers he has not acted upon.

For disputed amount the petitioner has filed an application dated 11.12.2014 before the Deputy Development Commissioner, Muzaffarpur which is still pending.

In such view of the matter, D.D.C. Muzaffarpur is directed to look into the claim of the petitioner and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law. If the petitioner would remain aggrieved of the order he will be at liberty totake legal course in accordance with law.

For convenience petitioner is directed to file a detailed application before the D.D.C. Muzaffarpur who will consider the same and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of six months from the date of filing of the



said application.

With the aforesaid observation and direction this writ
petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

