

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1134 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -65 Year- 2014 Thana -NAUHATTA District- SAHARSA

- =====
1. Rajiv Ranjan @ Munna Yadav son of Late Hardeo Yadav
 2. Rajesh Yadav, son of Late Hardeo Yadav
 3. Rupesh Yadav, son of Maharu Yadav
 4. Lalan Yadav son of Late Guneshwar Yadav, all are resident of village- Jori, P.S. Nauhatta, District- Saharsa
 5. Imam Ali, son of Late Bauku Miyan, resident of village- Sattour Punarwas, P.S. Nauhatta, District- Saharsa
 6. Junu Rashid @ Chhotu Mian @ Md. Junun Rashid @ Chhotu Khan, son of Habib Khan, resident of village- Kundah Punarwas, P.S.- Nauhatta, District- Saharsa
 7. Amod Yadav @ Amod Kumar, son of Kaushal Yadav, resident of village- Besair, P.S. Nauhatta, District- Saharsa

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. C. Jawahar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 65 of 2014 for the offences instituted under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 353, 224, 225, 341, 323 and 414 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioners is of committing loot of the vehicle from the lawful custody of the police force and they were adamant to assault the informant and other police personnel and also pelted bricks and stone on the police



personnel.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. They have got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioners. General and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioners. No specific overt act has been alleged against the petitioners. None is said to have been injured in course of occurrence.

On behalf of the State, it has been submitted that the petitioners are named in the F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioners above named be released on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 65 of 2014 on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Saharsa, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J.)

Amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

