

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.417 of 2013

In

Letters Patent Appeal No.1164 of 2012

-
-
1. Amresh Kumar, son of Ramjeet singh, resident of village Banauta, Police Station Parsa, District, Saran, at Chapra
2. Tahidur Rahman, son of Tahir Hussain, resident of village Mathia, Post Office B. T. Kohra, District Saran, at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, (Primary Education), Department Of Education, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Saran At Chapra.
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Saran At Chapra.
5. The District Education Officer, Saran At Chapra.
6. The District Appointment Appellate Authority, Saran At Chapra Through Its Member.
7. The Mukhia, Gram Panchayat Raj, Banauta, P.S. Parsa, District Saran At Chapra.
8. Sidheshwar Singh S/O Kedar Nath Singh Resident Of Village Banauta, P.S. Parsa, District Saran At Chapra.
9. Mahendra Singh S/O Late Jay Narayan Singh Resident Of Village Dariyapur, P.S. Dariyapur, District Saran At Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. sanjay Singh, Advocate
Mr. Lalan Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Kunal Tiwary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raju Giri (GP30)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH)

5 09-08-2017 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned
Counsel appearing on behalf of the State and perused the record.

This application has been filed for reviewing the order,
dated 29.07.2013, passed in CWJC No. 1164 of 2012 by this



Court, whereby the Letters Patent Appeal, preferred by the petitioners against the order, dated 01.05.2012, passed in CWJC No. 8491 of 2012, has been dismissed, holding therein “on perusal of the record, there is no gain saying that large scale irregularities were committed in the present selection process. In the circumstances if the learned Single Judge directed to conduct the counselling afresh and to prepare a fresh panel for appointment. We see no justification in interfering with the said order”.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has failed to point out any error, apparent on the face of record, in the order passed by the Division Bench in the Letters Patent Appeal.

Accordingly, the application, being devoid of merit, is hereby dismissed.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	v		
---	---	--	--

