

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.666 of 2015

- =====
1. Madheshwar Ravi Das Son of Sri Shivilakhan Ravi Das Resident of Village- Alawalpur, Police Station- Naubatpur, Anchal- Naubatpur, District- Patna.
 2. Shivjee Ram Son of Sri Arjun Ram Resident of Village- Shlarpur, Police Station- Naubatpur, Anchal- Naubatpur, District- Patna.
 3. Sunita Kumari Daughter of Sri Chhotan Mochi, Wife of Rajdeo Ram Resident of Village- Parsa, Police Station- Naubatpur, Anchal- Naubatpur, District- Patna.
 4. Nilam Kumari Daughter of Sri Sidheshwar Das, Wife of Dharmesh Kumar Resident of Village- Salarpur, Police Station- Naubatpur, Anchal- Naubatpur, District- Patna.
 5. Ushum Kumari Daughter of Sri Shiv Lakhan Ravi Das Resident of Village- Alawalpur, Police Station- Naubatpur, Anchal- Naubatpur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Bihar Education Project Council, Shiksha Bhawan, Rashtra Bhasha Campus, Saidpur, Rajendra Nagar, Patna.
5. The State Project Director, Bihar Education Project Council, Shiksha Bhawan, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Patna.
7. The District Education Officer, Patna.
8. The District Superintendent of Education-cum-District Programme Coordinator, Bihar Shiksha Pariyojana, Patna.
9. The District Programme Officer, Primary Education and Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Patna.
10. The Block Education Officer, Naubatpur, District- Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Girish Chandra Jha
For the Respondent/s : AC to GP - 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-02-2017 Today again, a prayer is being made by Sri Girish Chandra Jha, learned counsel for the petitioners in presence of



learned AC to GP No. 10 and Sri Girijesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 5 for granting further time for filing reply to the counter affidavit.

In this case earlier vide order dated 24.1.2017 three weeks time was already granted. Sri Girijesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 5 by way of referring to the statement made in paragraph no. 5 of the counter affidavit of respondent no. 9 submits that the grievance which was raised in the present writ petition has already been redressed. He submits that in the writ petition petitioners have made a prayer for directing for payment of his remuneration from the month of February 2010 to October 2012 whereas statement made in paragraph no. 5 of the counter affidavit makes it clear that the petitioners started their work from 9.2.2010 and continued till 3.3.2010 and their remuneration for the said period had already been paid.

In view of the statement made in paragraph no. 5 of the counter affidavit, there is no reason to further keep the matter pending.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

