

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.51318 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -117 Year- 2013 Thana -JHAJHA District- JAMUI

=====

Karu Mian @ Mustafa Mian S/o Sultan Mian, R/o Village- Dhodhari, P.S.-
Simultalla, District- Jamui.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Amrendar Kumar S/o Sri Indu Bhushan Prasad Singh, Up Prachalari
Prabandhak, R/o Hazaribagh at present Pradip Haldiya, Barauni Pipe
Line, District- Begusarai.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sinha, Adv
For the State : APP
For the IOCL : Mr. Krishna Chandra, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 09-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for
the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 11.05.2017 in
connection with Sessions Trial No. 304 of 2017, arising out of
Jhajha P.S. Case No. 117 of 2013 for the alleged offences under
Sections 379/511 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 15 and 16 of
the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline Act, 1962 (Amendment Act,
2011).

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated only on the confessional statement of co-accused Arjun
Singh recorded in Simultalla P.S. Case No. 54 of 2015. Learned
counsel for the petitioner made a specific statement that the



petitioner has not been arrested at the spot in connection with the said Simultalla P.S. Case No. 54 of 2015. It is submitted that no recovery has been made from the possession of the petitioner and he has not been put on T.I. parade.

4. Learned counsel for the informant appearing *suo motu* however, states that the petitioner had in fact been arrested at the spot in the occurrence related to Simultalla P.S. Case No. 54 of 2015 and sought time to bring the relevant FIR on record to demonstrate the same.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner then accepted the aforesaid stand of the informant.

6. Be that as it may and having regard to the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, which disclosed that he has made accused in as many as seven cases, some of them being of serious nature involving Explosives Substance Act, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of bail to the petitioner at this stage. The bail petition stands dismissed.

Chandran/BT

(Vikash Jain, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

