

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Revision No.966 of 2014

=====

1. Shankar Rai S/o Lal Kishor Rai resident of village- Demhua, Police
Station- Parihar, District- Sitamarhi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raja Ram Rai, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Binod Kumar No. 3, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 16-02-2017

By the impugned order dated 28.08.2012 passed in

Criminal Revision No. 99 of 2012, the learned Sessions Judge has allowed an application filed by the complainant for the purpose of producing evidence in Complaint Case No. C1/16/04 (Trial No. 671/12). The said order is being assailed in the present proceeding on the ground that learned Judicial Magistrate had rightly closed the evidence as the complainant had failed to produce any evidence despite several opportunities given.

However, from the impugned order passed by learned Sessions Judge, I find that learned Sessions Judge has asked the learned Judicial Magistrate to allow reasonable adjournment for production of evidence after charge. The said order cannot be said to be wholly illegal requiring this Court's interference in



revisional jurisdiction.

There is no merit in this application. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Vats/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

