

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.18975 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -250 Year- 2015 Thana -SHIVSAGAR District- SASARAM  
(ROHTAS)

=====

1. Sajan Rai @ Sajan Rajbhar Son of Late Radha Rai @ Radha rajbhar,  
Resident of Village-Pithiaon, P.S.-Chenari, District-Rohtas

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Gopesh Kumar

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      03-08-2017      Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Sheosagar (Baddi) P.S.Case No.250 of 2015 dated 18.9.2015 (Sessions Trial No.355 of 2016) registered for offences punishable under Sections 13, 17 and 20 of U.A.P. Act and Section 25 (1-B)A and 26 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of recovery of one pistol and six cartridges from his possession.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that though the petitioner has been arrested at the spot and there is allegation of recovery but he is in custody for two years and the reports shows that up-till-now only one witness has been examined. It is further submitted that though it has been stated in para 3 of the petition that he has no criminal antecedent but later



on he came to know that he is accused in two other cases also.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 4<sup>th</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtas, Sasaram, in connection with Sessions Trial No.355 of 2016 [arising out of Sheosagar (Baddi) P.S.Case No.250 of 2015] .

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

chn/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

