

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.1094 of 2014
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1535 of 2001**

=====

1. Sonmati Devi W/o Late Uma Shankar Prasad resident of Mohalla - Jay Prakash
Nagar, Gaywal Bigha, P.S. Civil Lines, District - Gaya

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary - Cum - Inspector General of Registration, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash
Bhawan Bailey, Patna
3. The District Registrar - Cum - Collector, Gaya, District Gaya
4. The District Sub - Registrar, Jehanabad

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar Sinha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ravish Chandra, AC to SC 6

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL**

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 18-04-2017

Heard counsel for the appellant and counsel for the State

The Court does not find any infirmity with the order of
the learned Single Judge taking in view the protracted litigation and
the circumstances which culminated into issuance of 75% pension
only to the husband of the appellant. The dismissal order was passed
way back in the year 1986. There were many rounds of litigations
and the last of the litigation was CWJC No.1535 of 2001, which came
to be decided on 23.9.2008.

The Court is not willing to give any leeway to the



appellant to reopen the whole issue as a de novo kind of exercise to shake off the past of the husband of the appellant. Enough indulgence has already been given in the previous rounds of litigation.

There is no merit in the appeal. It is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

sk

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	19.4.2017
Transmission Date	NA

