

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.746 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 589 of 2013

=====

Chhote Lal Yadav, Son of Late Ram Das Yadav, Resident of Mohalla- Kachhori
Gali, P.S. City Chowk, Patna City, District- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Amrit Lal Meena, The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Old Secretariat, Patna 800001
2. Smt. Sheema Tripathi, The Deputy Development Commissioner, Patna (D.D.C.) D.R.D.A., Vikash Bhawan, Collectariate, Patna 800001
3. Sri Prasnotam Kumar, The Managing Director, Bihar Panchayati Raj, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariate, Patna-800001
4. Sri Sanjay Kumar, The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna Virchand Patel Path, Patna- 800001

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D. Yadav, AAG-IX

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 26-04-2017

Keeping in view the fact that the only direction issued in the writ petition was to decide the representation and from the show causes filed by the respondents 1, 2 and 3 it is seen that the representation has been decided and certain amount as admissible has been paid, it is not a fit case where action for contempt may be issued.

In case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the manner in which the representation has been decided, he shall



have liberty to challenge the same afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, the application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	29.4.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

