

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.2028 of 2015

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6154 of 1993

=====

1. Smt. Namita Ojha Wife of Dr. Purnendu Ojha Resident of Kankarbagh,
P.S. Kankarbagh, Legal heir of Rupwanti Devi original petitioner P.O.
Presently residing at 21 Doctors Colony P.S. Kankarbagh, District - Patna

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Urban Development, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Vice - Chairman, Patna Regional Development Authority, Maurya Lok, Patna
4. The Secretary Cum Executive Officer, Patna Regional Authority, Patna - 1
5. Patna Municipal Corporation through its Commissioners

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr P.K.Shahi, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sharma

For the Respondent/s : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

3 14-02-2017 Delay of 195 days is condoned. I.A. No.9007 of 2015
is allowed.

Hopes and aspirations of the present appellant has to be
reconciled within the framework of the decision, which has
been rendered by the learned Single Judge in his judgment dated
29.1.2015 against which the present appeal has been filed.

The expectation of the appellant which is more of a



hope for fulfillment of a desire, looks rather remote and distant. If something could not be achieved since 1964 when more than 50 years have gone past, the Division Bench cannot improve upon the facts and the position, which has been dealt with by the learned Single Judge.

The appeal, therefore, is dismissed as no infirmity emerges from the decision of the learned Single Judge against which the appeal has been filed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

sk

U			
---	--	--	--

