

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1134 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4119 of 2011

=====

1. Seema Kumari Wife Of Ranjay Kumar Resident Of Village- Dhanawa, P.O. Bagdaha, P.S. Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sri Vivek Kr. Singh, The Commissioner-Cum-Secretary, Department Of Secondary Primary And Adult Education, Government Of Bihar, Patna
3. Sri Bala D. Murgan, District Magistrate, Gaya
4. Sri Rajeev Ranjan Pd., The District Superintendent Of Education, Gaya
2. 5. Sri Abhimanu, The Block Development Officer, Banke Bazar, District- Gaya
5. Sri Sardar Singh, The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat, Barheta, Block Banke Bazar, District- Gaya
6. Sri Ramesh Kumar Azad, The Panchayat Secretary, Barheta, Block- Banke Bazar, District- Gaya
7. Pinki Raj Yadav Husband Of Suman Yadav Resident Of Village- Sondaha, P.O. Tilaiya, P.S. Banke Bazar, District- Gaya

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. ANIL KUMAR SAXENA

For the Respondent/s : Mr. DEVENDRA KR SINHA

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23 -05-2017

On 8.8.2013 in C.W.J.C. No.4119 of 2011, the authorities were directed to comply with the order passed by the appellate authority on 10.11.2009, Annexure-3 in the record of C.W.J.C. No.4119 of 2011.

From the averments made by the respondents in the show cause, particularly in para Nos.5 and 6, it is seen that action was taken and the candidates were called and vide documents filed



as Annexure-B and C series, and after counseling such of the candidates who participated in the counseling were selected and appointed.

As far as the present petitioner is concerned, it is specifically stated that he did not appear for counseling. This show cause has been filed on 24.7.2014 and there is no rebuttal to the same.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, now it is not appropriate to initiate action for contempt against the respondents.

The petitioner, if aggrieved by the action taken by the respondents, will have the liberty to assail afresh in accordance with law, but in the light of the show cause, no case is made out for initiating action for contempt.

The contempt application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	25.5.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

