

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.39536 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -38 Year- 2016 Thana -GURUA District- GAYA

=====

1. Arun Vishwakarma Son of Narayan Mistri, R/o Village- Pankara, P.S.-
Dumariya, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S. Jamil Akhtar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Smt. Anusuiya Jaiswal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-09-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Section 366(A) of the Indian Penal Code in
connection with Gurua P.S. Case No. 38/2016.

Allegation, as per the F.I.R., is of kidnapping of the
sister of the informant. Later on dead body was recovered.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is
that he has falsely been implicated this case and no dead body was
recovered in this case and no case under Section 366A of the Indian
Penal Code against the petitioner is made out. It has further been
submitted that the CDR shows that no such mobile number, as
mentioned in F.I.R., has been seized by the police, but the petitioner
is in custody since 24.02.2017.



Heard learned Additional Public Prosecutor also. He opposed the prayer of bail and drawn attention towards para 40 of the case diary in which it has been submitted that mobile number, mentioned in the F.I.R., belongs to the petitioner and from that call was being made to the sister of the informant.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts, stated above, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner, above named, on bail and as such his prayer for bail is rejected.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

