

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1154 of 2014

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23029 of 2011

=====

Ramanand Singh Son of Sri Sachchidanand Singh Resident of Mohalla Kalyanpur, Near Satsang Chemicals, P.O- Satsang, B. Deoghar, District- Deograh, Jharkhand.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India Through Secretary, Labour Employment Government of India.
2. Smt. Gori Kumar The Secretary, Labour And Employment Department, Govt. of Bihar, Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The State Co- Operative Land Development Bank Simit, Bihar & Jharkhand, Budh Marg, Patna Through Its Managing Director, Sri Binod Prasad Singh
4. Md. Majid Alam The Director Personnel, State Co- Operative Land Development Bank Simit, Bihar & Jharkhand, Budh Marg, Patna.
5. Dr. A.K. Singh, The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, R. Block, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S.B.K. Mangalam, Advocate
		Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
For Opposite Party No.5:		Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate
For the Bank	:	Mr. Rajesh Prasad Choudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

7 10-11-2017 Considering the fact that claim of the present petitioner in the individual capacity has been settled and admitted dues of the applicant has been paid and there is no dispute about the same.

That being so, now taking note of the observations made by the Writ Court with regard to applicability of the order of the learned Single Bench to all employees of the Bank at the instance of the present applicant in these contempt proceedings



no action is required to be taken. If the applicant in his capacity as Secretary of the Employees Association feels that similar benefit in pursuance to the order passed by the Writ Court on 5.02.2013 has to be extended to other employees, he may represent to the Bank, make claims along with the particulars of the employees and the authorities shall proceed to take note of the order passed on 05.02.2013 in C.W.J.C. No. 23029 of 2011 and pass appropriate orders. In case the applicant is aggrieved, the applicant or the aggrieved persons will have liberty to assail the action afresh in accordance with law. In case the applicant has any further grievance with regard to quantification of the amount, liberty shall be available to him to claim it afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, the application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

P.K.P.

U			
---	--	--	--

