

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3581 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 816 of 2011

=====

Mahendra Mohan Chaudhary, Son of Parmeshwar Prasad Chaudhary, Resident of Village and P.O. - Basbitta, P.S. - Shambhuganj, District - Banka, at present working as the Head Master of Bab Gauri Nath Sanskrit Prathmik Madhya-Sah-Uchch Vidyalaya, Bishanpur, Nagel, Belhar, District - Banka

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna, Mr. R.K. Mahajan Son of not known.
2. The Special Director, Secondary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna. Mr. Aashutosh Kumar Son of Not known
3. The District Magistrate, Banka. Mr. Saket Kumar Son of not known
4. The District Education Officer, Banka. Mr. Jyotish Kumar Son of not known
5. The Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, through its Secretary, Boring Canal Road, Patna-1, Mr. Milind Kumar Sinha Son of Not known
6. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna. Mr. Ramdeo Prasad Son of not known
7. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna. Mr. Milind Kumar Singh Son of not known

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.

For the State : Mr. Amaresh Kumar Sinha, A.C to G.A-1

For Sanskrit Shiksha Board: Mr. S.S. Sundram, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the State and learned counsel for the Sanskrit Shiksha Board.

As per the order passed in C.W.J.C. No.816 of 2011



dated 03.02.2011 institution was required to deposit the fee within two weeks from the date of passing of the order with the authorities of the Board and the report was to be obtained from the District Magistrate with respect to granting recognition to the institution.

A show-cause has been filed by the State, annexing the letter dated 10.10.2014 of the District Education Officer, Banka, from where it appears that the Committee headed by the District Magistrate has already submitted the report.

In such view of the matter, the direction given by this Court has already been complied with. However, the Sanskrit Shiksha Board is directed that if such report has been received, it should take decision with regard to merit of recognition of the institution, if not already taken.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this contempt application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A.
Uploading Date	24.05.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

