

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.42737 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -126 Year- 2017 Thana -BAKHTIYARPUR RAIL P.S. District-
PATNA

=====

KRANTI DEVI @ SUNITA DEVI @ KANTI DEVI wife of Pravesh @
Pravesh Chaudhary resident of Bakhtiyarpur Near Railway Station Slum
Area (Jhoparpatti), Police Station - Bakhtiyarpur, District - Patna,
permanent resident of village - Mitma, P.S. - Silao, District - Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner is in judicial custody since 26.06.2017 in
connection with Bakhtiyarpur Rail P.S. Case No. 126/2017, for the
offences punishable under Sections 379 and 411 of the Indian
Penal Code.

The prosecution case as lodged by the informant is that
while she was boarding a train there was a big crowd, the
petitioner tried to take Rs. 1.5 lakh from her bag and was caught
red handed.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the
petitioner that she is innocent, bears no criminal history and on



search, it was found that she was carrying Rs. 14,800/- which was her own money which she was taken along with her for her treatment. He further submits that the petitioner is in advanced stage of pregnancy and has two minor children, hence a sympathetic consideration be given.

However, learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances and materials on record, let the petitioner named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Railway Patna in connection with Bakhtiyarpur Rail P.S. Case No. 126/2017, subject to the condition that one of the bailors would be a close relative of the petitioner and if the petitioner is found to have indulged in similar offence in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move the learned court below for cancellation of her bail bonds.

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

