

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Revision No.471 of 2017

=====

1. Tulsi Yadav @ Tulsi Kumar Son of Dworika Yadav, Resident of Village-Koniyapar, Police Station- Nawada in the District- of Nawada.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhuranand Jha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI

SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

4 06-07-2017 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State of Bihar.

The petitioner has been declared juvenile in conflict with law. He is an accused in Roh Police Station Case No. 60 of 2016. He had applied for his release on bail before the Juvenile Justice Board, Nawada, which has been rejected by order, dated 08.02.2017. The petitioner, thereafter, preferred appeal against the order refusing his prayer for release on bail by the Juvenile Justice Board, Nawada, under Section 52 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, giving rise to Criminal Appeal (Juvenile) No. 11 of 2017, which has been rejected by learned Sessions Judge, Nawada, by order, dated 18.03.2017.



Aggrieved by the said order passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Nawada, the present criminal revision application, under Section 53 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, has been filed.

Learned Court below has refused to accede to the petitioner's prayer for grant of bail mainly on the ground that if released on bail, he will fall in association with known criminals.

On perusal of the materials on record, the reasons assigned by the Courts below for refusing the petitioner to be released on bail cannot be said to be unreasonable, unjustified and without any valid basis.

The Court, however, cannot loose sight of the legislative intent, which lays down definite period, within which the Juvenile Justice Board is required to complete the enquiry against a juvenile after having been charged with offences and produced before the Juvenile Justice Board, under Section 14 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

This is unfortunate that the said requirement is not being given due weightage, leading to delay in completion of enquiry.

In the facts and circumstances of the case,



without interfering with the impugned orders, I am of the view that interest of justice will be subserved if this application is disposed of with a direction to the Juvenile Justice Board, Nawada, to conclude the enquiry within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

All concerned are directed to make best endeavor to ensure that completion of enquiry before the Juvenile Justice Board is not hindered since it is solemn duty of all the concerned functionaries to carry out the provisions of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

If the enquiry, under Section 14 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, is not concluded by the Juvenile Justice Board, Nawada, within the aforesaid period of three months, the petitioner may renew his prayer for his release on bail before appropriate forum in accordance with law.

This application stands disposed of with the observation and direction, as above.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	✓	T	✓
---	---	---	---

