

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.32160 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -82 Year- 2014 Thana -ASARGANJ District- MUNGER

=====

Munchun Yadav

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kamal Kishore Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-07-2017 Heard the parties.

This application has been filed for grant of bail in connection with S.T.No.114 of 2017 arising out of Asarganj P.S.Case No.82 of 2014, registered for offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 448, 342, 324, 307 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner had been rejected earlier by this Court vide order dated 2.9.2015 passed in Cr. Misc. No.15866 of 2015 with an observation to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that now the charge has been framed and the allegation against the petitioner is only of catching hold the deceased and no specific allegation has been attributed against him. The petitioner is in



custody for about seven months and he is ready to abide by any condition imposed upon him.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge 2nd, Munger in connection with S.T.No.114 of 2017 arising out of Asarganj P.S.Case No.82 of 2014.

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U			
---	--	--	--

