

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.1443 of 2015
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4072 of 2013**

=====

Mamta Kumari wife of Rajsi Kumar @ Rajrishi Kumar resident of village -
Ranipatti, P.S. - Kumarkhand, District - Madhepura.

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Department of Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Supaul.
6. The District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authority, Supaul through its Member.
7. Chandrika Devi wife of Ramnath Prasad resident of village - Tulapatti, P.S. - Kishanpur, District - Supaul.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Praful Chandra Thakur, Advocate
For the Respondent State: Mr. Rajiv Roy, GP-1
Mr. Arun Kumar, AC to GP-1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL**

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 11-01-2017

Limitation Petition is allowed. The delay is condoned
under the circumstances indicated in the limitation petition. Matter is
thereafter heard on merits.

The learned single Judge while considering the claim of
the appellant, who was the petitioner in the court below, has very
clearly and categorically held that the organization, which is known
as Central Board of Higher Education, New Delhi, is not an



institution recognized by any authority and is also not a validly recognized certification by the State of Bihar as well. It is a fraudulent organization which is making money by selling degrees which people have been able to use and misuse according to their convenience.

If this be so, no error has been committed by the learned single Judge in rejecting the claim of the petitioner by refusing to grant any relief which could facilitate her appointment or continuance as a Panchayat Teacher.

Appeal has no merit. It is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	12.01.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

