

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10953 of 2015

=====

Mantun Prasad Son of Sri Kant Singh resident of Adarsh Colony East Indira
Nagar, Road No. 1, Kankarbagh, Patna - 20, P.S. Kankarbagh, District - Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India, through Divisional Manager, East Central Railway,
Samastipur
2. The Railway Divisional Manager, East Central Railway, Samastipur
3. The Divisional Manager Single and Technical Engineer, East Central Railway,
Samastipur
4. The Senior Divisional Signal and Telecom Engineer, East Central Railway,
Samastipur
5. The Senior Section Engineer (Store), East Central Railway, Samastipur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mahesh Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-03-2017

Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. In this case, the petitioner is claiming an amount of
Rs.3,75,200/- for the work done by him.

3. The petitioner is a contractor of the Railway was
allotted the work of supply for SPJ/S & T/W token less Block
Instrument Saharsa-Manshi for Rs.3,75,200/-. The petitioner
entered into an agreement with the Railway. As per the claim of the



petitioner, he has completed the work which has been inspected by the competent person and found the completion of the work, but the petitioner still awaiting for the payment of the aforesaid amount including the Progress Guarantee Rs.27,000/-.

4. Let the petitioner file a detailed representation to the Divisional Manager Single and Technical Engineer, East Central Railway, Samastipur along with the copy of this order. If such an application is filed by the petitioner, the Divisional Manager Single and Technical Engineer, East Central Railway, Samastipur shall examine the claim of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the aforesaid amount, then the same will be released in his favour within three months from the date of filing of representation by the petitioner. In case of rejection, the authority must pass reasoned order.

5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A.
Uploading Date	10.03.2017
Transmission Date	N/A.

