

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.25659 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -42 Year- 2012 Thana -BIKRAM District- PATNA

=====

Ravindra Gope, son of Rajeshwar Gope @ Rajeshwar Yadav, resident of
Village- Selhori, P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Sri Ramakant Sharma
Mr. Lakshmi Kant Sharma

For the Opposite Party/s : Sri D.P. Tiwary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 26-07-2017 Heard Sri Ramakant Sharma, learned senior counsel ,

assisted by Sri Lakshmi Kant Sharma, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Sri D.P. Tiwary, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor.

This is the 5th attempt for grant of bail on behalf of the
petitioner. Lastly, on 17.08.2016 the prayer for bail of the
petitioner was rejected considering the fact that trial was
continuing.

In this case, by order dated 28.06.2017 a report was
called for from the court below regarding the present status of the
case, which has been received and kept at flag 'A'. The report
suggests that till date twenty one (21) witnesses have already
been examined and one another forensic expert is to be



examined. Sri Sharma , learned senior counsel for the petitioner by way of referring to the facts disclosed in the supplementary affidavit submits that earlier prosecution had cited only fifteen (15) persons as witness in the charge- sheet however, recently a petition was filed for adding seven (7) more witnesses. He submits that this fact is evident from the order dated 10.6.2017 . However, from perusal of the earlier order also it is evident that there were fifteen (15) charge-sheeted witnesses and now the report dated 07.07.2017 suggests that till the date of report twenty one (21) witnesses have already been examined. Meaning thereby that on petition filed on behalf of the prosecution for adding witnesses order was passed and thereafter six(6) more witnesses have already been examined and only one witness is to be examined. In view of the fact that the case is at the fag end, there is no reason to review my earlier order. The prayer for bail again stands rejected with observation that the trial court may take appropriate step so that the case may come to its logical end without unnecessary delay.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

