

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1669 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -175 Year- 2016 Thana -LALIT NARAYAN UNIVERSITY District-
DARBHANGA

=====

1. Bhajan Sah Son of Ramhandra Sah, Resident of Mohalla-Chunabhatthi, P.S.-L.N.M.U., District-Darbhanga	 Petitioner
--	-----------------

Versus

1. The State of Bihar	 Opposite Party
-----------------------	---------------------

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dharmendra Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta, APP 42

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 18-03-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner
and the State.

This is a petition for grant of regular bail for
offence under Section 366A of the Indian Penal Code.

According to first information report, the
petitioner is suspected to have induced the daughter of the
informant aged about 16 years to go with him. The
petitioner had promised to produce the victim, in the
circumstances, the first information report was delayed.

The statement of the victim-girl under Section
164 of the Criminal Procedure Code reveals that the
petitioner forcibly abducted the daughter of the informant
and married with her and, thereafter, both resided at Delhi
for ten days as husband and wife. Later on the petitioner
left the victim to go home.

Submission of the petitioner is that the matter
was of love affairs. However, under the pressure of the



parents, the victim has given her statement under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The petitioner is in custody since 03.08.2016.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances, aforesaid fact, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with **L.N.M.U. P.S. Case No. 175 of 2016** to the satisfaction of the **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga**, or successor Court with the condition that the petitioner shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case and if the Court below would come to notice that the petitioner has committed similar type of offence in future, the Court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner in this case also.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

SA/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

