

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.5421 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -64 Year- 2014 Thana -CHANDAN District- BANKA

=====

Bikram Paswan son of Lakheshwar Paswan, resident of Village-
Roychor, P.S. Chandramandi, District- Jamui.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Ms. Neelam Kumari, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 07-02-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 27.02.2016 in connection with Chandan (Anandpur) P.S. Case No. 64 of 2014 for the offences alleged under Sections 395, 397 and 412 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated on mere suspicion and only on confessional statement of F.I.R. named accused Katki Yadav who also named co-accused Purushottam Paswan, the latter having been granted bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 45505 of 2014. No recovery of any incriminating articles has been made from the possession of the petitioner. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be



released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Banka, in connection with Chandan (Anandpur) P.S. Case No. 64 of 2014, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/Chandran

U		T	
---	--	---	--

