

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.18101 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -187 Year- 2016 Thana -LALIT NARAYAN UNIVERSITY District-
DARBHANGA

=====

1. Ramesh Sahni Son of Late Janak Sahni, Resident of Village- Mustafapur,
Police Station- Bishanpur and District- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Girish Chandra Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Akhileshwar Dayal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2017 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S.T.No.56 of 2017 arising out of L.N.M.U. P.S.Case No.187 of 2016 registered for offences punishable under Sections 394, 324 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he is not named in the F.I.R. and later on during the course of investigation, his name has transpired.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that his name transpired only on the basis of suspicion and he is in custody for about seven months. Even he has not been put on T.I.P.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides and in view of facts and



circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Darbhanga in connection with S.T.No.56 of 2017 arising out of L.N.M.U. P.S.Case No.187 of 2016.

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U			
---	--	--	--

