

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3875 of 2016

=====

M/s Shakti Shankar Construction Company Pvt. Ltd. Through its Managing
Director, Sri Uday Shankar Singh, Maharaja Karman Tola, Arrah

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Managing Director, Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Limited, Mangle Road, Patna.
2. The Senior Project Engineer, Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Limited, Road Division, Daroga Rai Path, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Engineer-in-Chief cum Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Chief Engineer, North Bihar, Road Construction Department, Darbhanga at Patna.
7. The Executive Engineer, R.C.D. Road Division, Katihar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Raj Kishore Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Rakesh Prabhat, AC to SC 21
For BRPNN Limited : Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-11-2017

The present writ petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents to pay the admitted due amount of work done/security deposit/difference cost of freight/increased cost of materials and carriage and other dues by the petitioner along with interest @ 18%; and for connected reliefs.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner accepts that remedy by way of approaching the Arbitration Tribunal is available to the petitioner for redressal of its grievances.

3. In the above circumstances, this Court is not inclined to enter into the merits of the matter. The writ petition accordingly



stands dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Arbitration Tribunal for redressal of its grievances.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	10.11.2017
Transmission Date	N.A

