

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.10445 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -349 Year- 2016 Thana -SHERGHATI District- GAYA

=====

1. Harendra Manjhi Son of Radhe Manjhi All Resident of Village-
Purushottampur, P.S.-Amas, District-Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-03-2017 The petitioner is in custody since 02.10.2016 in connection with Sherghati P.S. Case No. 349 of 2016, registered for offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code and later on added Section 395 and 412 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has not been named in the F.I.R. and though it is alleged that one anklet has been recovered from the petitioner, however the same has not been put on Test Identification Parade and the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 02.10.2016 and has no criminal antecedent.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, in view of the fact that petitioner has not been named in the F.I.R and though one anklet has been recovered but the T.I.P. of the recovered article has not been done and petitioner has been in judicial custody since 02.10.2016 and has no criminal antecedent, let the petitioner



above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000 (Rs. Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned SDJM, Sherghati, Gaya, in connection with Sherghati P.S. Case No. 349 of 2016, with following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

