

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 12652 of 2016

=====

Shikshakettar Pensioner Samaj, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur,
through its Secretary Mahesh Prasad, Son of Late Teja Ram, resident of Mohalla-
Ishakchak, P.S.- Ishakchak, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Governor of Bihar-cum-Chancellor, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University,
Bhagalpur.
4. The Vice Chancellor, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
5. The Finance Officer, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
6. The Registrar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Nityanand, Advocate
For the University	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, A.C. to S.C. 8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-08-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, State and
Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University.

2. The petitioner claiming to be a registered
organization of retired employees of T.M. Bhagalpur University has
moved the Court for the following reliefs:

*(i) For issuance of directions to the
respondents to provide all consequential benefit to
the retired non teaching employees of Tilka Manjhi
University and constituent colleges of the said
University, implementing the commitment of the
State Government given to the Bihar State
University and College Employees Federation,
under agreement/compromise dated 18.07.2007, in
the light of order dated 07.08.2008, passed in*



C.W.J.C. No. 10870 of 2008, by Hon’ble Patna High Court, as well as, order dated 18.01.2013, passed in Civil Appeal No. 516 of 2013 [Arising out of SLP (C) No. 22617 of 2008], by Hon’ble Supreme Court.

(ii) To hold that the action under challenge is illegal, improper and malafide, as well as without jurisdiction.”

3. The Court finds the relief sought to be too vague for any specific order to be passed.

4. In such view of the matter, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to any individual, who may be aggrieved due to the action/inaction of the respondents to approach the appropriate Court/forum/authority for redressal of his grievance.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

