

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18891 of 2016

=====

Nikhil Kumar Singh, Son Dr. Nageshwar Singh, Resident of Village- Jokhani, P.O.-
Jamhore, P.S- Jamhore, District- Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Cabinet Secretary of Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi.
2. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Bihar at Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Old Secretariat, Bihar at Patna.
4. The S.S.P. Patna, District- Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Transport Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Commissioner, Transport Department, Government of Bihar, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The District Transport Officer, Patna, Transport Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Department of Law and Justice, Government of Bihar, Patna.
9. Motor Vehicle Inspector, District - Patna, Transport Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
10. The Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Bihar at Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sumeet Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Union of India	:	Mr. S. D. Sanjay, Addl. S.G. Mr. Anshuman Singh, CGC
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Rastogi, AAG 10 Mr. Rohitabh Das, AC to AAG-10
For the BSRTC	:	Mr. P K Verma, Senior Advocate Ms. Divya Verma, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 04-08-2017

In case, the petitioner feels that sleeper buses are plying in the State of Bihar without any authority or contrary to the provisions of law, the petitioner should have brought these facts to the notice of the authority concerned and thereafter in case no action is taken, the



petition should have been filed. That apart, from the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, we find that by amendment in the Motor Vehicles Act and the Rules framed therein, plying of such vehicles have been regularised.

That being so, we see no reason to make any indulgence into the matter. The petition stands dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to take up the issue with the statutory authority in case he has any grievance.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N A
Uploading Date	05.08.2017
Transmission Date	

