

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3698 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 454 of 2016

=====

Medipol Pharmaceutical India Private Limited, having its registered office at 128/5 Swiss House, Vishwas Nagar, Delhi 110032 and having its Branch Office at Jaipul Dhanki, near Kumhrar, Post Office- Bari Pahari, Police Station- Agamkuan, District- Patna 800007 through its Branch Manager, Binod Kumar Mandal, son of Sri Gauri Shankar Mandal, resident of Jaipul Dhanki, near Kumhrar, Post Office- Bari Pahari, Police Station- Agamkuan, District- Patna 800007.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. R.K. Mahajan, Principal Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation Limited through Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jha, the Managing Director, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, 5th Floor, Biscomaun Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna- 800001.
3. Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jha, the Managing Director, the Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation Limited, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, 5th Floor, Biscomaun Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna- 800001.
4. Mr. Mahesh Kumar Atri, the General Manager (F & A), the Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation Limited, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, 5th Floor, Biscomaun Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna- 800001.
5. Mr. Ravi Kiran Rakesh, the General Manager (Procurement), the Bihar Medical Services and Infrastructure Corporation Limited, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, 5th Floor, Biscomaun Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna- 800001.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Lalit Kishore, A.G.

Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

6 06-09-2017 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel appearing

for the petitioner and Mr. Lalit Kishore, learned Advocate

General for the State.



In view of the order present at Annexure 'A' to the show cause filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 2 to 5 no case for contempt is made out and the contempt application is disposed of leaving it open for the petitioner to question the same in an appropriate proceeding by filing and appropriate application.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

SKPathak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

