

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2082 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 14670 of 2010

=====

1. Arvind Singh S/o Late Ramprasad Singh Resident of Village Rahimpur Karn, Police Station Amnour, District Saran.
 2. Ramnath Ram S/o Sri Nandlal Ram Resident of Village Rahimpur Karn, Police Station Amnour, District Saran.
 3. Harendra Pandey S/o Late Girja Pandey Resident of Village Trawara, Police Station Amnour, District Saran.
 4. Jai Kumar Pandey S/o Late Sarjug Pandey Resident of Village Tarwara, Police Station Bheldi, District Saran.
 5. Shailesh Bharti S/o Late Rajkeshwari Bharti Resident of Village Hussainpur, Police Station Amnour, District Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary, Rajiv Mehrishi, Ministry of Finance, Union of India, New Delhi.
 2. Ravi Mittal, Principal Secretary, State of Bihar, Department of Finance, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
 3. Manoj Kumar Verma, the General Manager, Reserve Bank of India, Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
 4. Vijay Kumar Goyal, the Zonal/Chief Manager, State Bank of India, Gandhi Maidan, Bihar, Patna.
 5. Sri Pankaj Kumar Srivastava, the Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Branch Amnour, District Saran.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prashant Sinha
 For Respondent no.1 : Mrs. Kanak Verma
 For the Bank : Mr. Kauslendra Kr. Sinha
 For the State : Mr. P.K.Singh, AC to GA 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

03 18.01.2017 Show cause has already been filed and from perusal of annexure A series, it would appear that order of this court has already been complied with by opposite party passing order dated 10.1.2015 which is available at page 9 of the show cause.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners may be given liberty to take appropriate steps before



appropriate forum, if they are not satisfied with the observations of the concerned official annexed at page 9 of the show cause.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this contempt petition stands disposed of.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners that they may raise their grievance before appropriate forum, if they are not satisfied with the letter dated 10.1.2015 (Annexure 9) given by the bank officials while disposing of the claim of the petitioners.

Shahid

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

