

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 14454 of 2016

=====

Dr. Rajendra Prasad Bhadani, Son of Late Rameshwar Prasad Bhadani, Resident of F-22, Vijay Nagar, Hanuman Nagar, P.S.- Patrakar Nagar, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Biwith the help of respondent no. 10har through the Commissioner cum Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director in Chief, Health Services, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Medical Education and Family Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Health, Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Jamui, Bihar.
6. The Treasury Officer, Jamui, Bihar.
7. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
8. The Director, Provident Fund, Bihar, Patna.
9. The District Provident Fund Officer, Jamui.
10. The District Provident Fund Officer, Munger, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Srivastva, Adv.

For the Respondent/s: Mr. MUJTABUL HAQUE-GP12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 20-07-2017

Mr. Arun Kumar Shrivastava appears and submits that he does not represent any of the respondents and has not filed any Vakalatnama but his name appears in the array of counsel for the respondents.

Let Office examine and if he has not filed Vakalatnama his name should be deleted from the list.



Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

It is contended that though the petitioner has retired on 31.01.2002 as Medical Officer, Jamui Jail, his GPF amount, pension as well as three years' salary from 21.03.1969 to 06.11.1972 have not been paid when he was in Patna Secretariat posting.

Though, a counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondent no. 10 that it is admitted position that GPF amount for that period has not been paid to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this writ application is being disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2, i.e., the Director in Chief, Health Services, Bihar, Patna to ensure payment of the admitted dues for the aforesaid period and if the salary is sanctioned and paid the respondent no. 10, i.e., the District Provident Fund Officer, Munger, Bihar would be required to take a decision as to whether the petitioner is entitled for payment of GPF for that period or not? If he is found entitled then the admitted dues along with statutory interest would be paid, however, if he is not entitled then respondent no. 2 would be required to pass a reasoned order and a copy of the same should be immediately communicated to the petitioner.



It is expected that the entire exercise would be completed within a period of two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Vikash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	02.08.2017
Transmission Date	NA

