

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10970 of 2011

=====

Ajay Kumar, S/O Sri Gulichandra Yadav, R/O Village - Barkahuna, P.O. - Barahat, P.S. - Lakxmipur, Distt. – Jamui.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Jamui.
3. The District Teacher Selection Appellate Authority, Jamui.
4. The District Superintendent of Education, Jamui.
5. The Block Development Officer, Jhajha, P.O. - Jhajha, P.S. - Jhajha, Distt. – Jamui.
6. The Selection Unit Through The Panchayat Secretary, Paingaha Gram Panchayat, P.O. - Barahat, P.S. - Jhajha, Distt. – Jhajha.
7. Raja Ram Prasad Yadav alias Raja Ram Prasad Sao, S/O Sri Bhagwan Prasad Sao, R/V- Tulsipur Samada, P.O. + P.S. Haweli Kharagpur, District-Munger. At present residing at Village-+ P.O. Mananpur, P.S. Chanan, District-Lakhisarai.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Prakash Mahto, Advocate.
For the Respondents : Mr. J.S. Arora Sc6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 12-07-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

The present writ application has been preferred by the petitioner against the order contained in Memo No. 212, Jamui dated 28.04.2011, passed by the Member, District Teachers' Employment Appellate Authority, Jamui,

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ application with a liberty to prefer an



appeal before the State Appellate Authority which has been constituted vide Notification No. 7/B 3-80/2011-513 dated 13.05.2015, challenging the Memo No. 212 dated 28.04.2011 passed by the Member, District Teachers' Employment Appellate Authority, Jamui.

Permission is accorded.

The petitioner shall file an appeal before the State Appellate Authority within a period of thirty days from the date of the order which should be disposed of in accordance with law within the statutory period.

It has been pointed out on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner that an interim order in favour of the petitioner was passed directing to maintain status quo vide order dated 13.07.2011. In light of the said order, the petitioner is still continuing in service. The petitioner will be at liberty to file a separate application alongwith his appeal before the State Appellate Authority, if so advised, for any interim relief as sought for by him, during the pendency of the appeal.

With the aforesaid observation and direction, the present writ application stands disposed of.

U.K./-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

