

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2908 of 2001

- =====
1. Mahavir Prasad son of Late Jawahir Prasad
 2. Raju Kumar Gupta, son of Late Kashi Prasad
 3. Lalan Prasad Gupta, son of Late Kashi Prasad
 4. Sib Kumari wife of Kashi Prasad
 5. Kameshwar Prasad @ Badai Prasad, son of Jawahir Lal Sah
 6. Asun Devi, wife of Lal Babu Prasad
 7. Baby Devi wife of Girdhari Prasad
 8. Madhu Devi wife of Jai Prakash Prasad
- All resident of Brahmchauk, Ward No. 8, P.S.-Gopalganj, District-Gopalganj

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Ganesh Prasad, son of Hira Lal, resident of Master Colony, Ward No. 6, District-Gopalganj
2. Suresh Prasad, son of Hira Lal, resident of Master Colony, Ward No. 6, District-Gopalganj
3. Dewanti Devi, wife of Tuntun Prasad
4. Deepak, son of Tuntun Prasad
5. Rajesh Kumar, son of Tuntun Prasad
6. Dinesh Kumar, son of Tuntun Prasad
7. Bhagwati Devi, daughter of Tuntun Prasad
8. Prakash Devi, daughter of Tuntun Prasad
9. Soni Devi, daughter of Tuntun Prasad
10. Savitri Devi, wife of Pramatra Prasad
11. Saroj wife of Balram Jee
12. Nutan Devi, wife of Pappu Kumar Halwai
13. Sheela Kumari, minor daughter of Tuntun Prasad
14. Rajni Devi, minor daughter of Tuntun Prasad
15. Urmila Devi, wife of Ram Chandra Prasad, resident of Village-Hasanpura, P.S.-Hasanpur, District-Siwan
16. Kedar Prasad, son of Laxmi Prasad
17. Hiralal Prasad, son of Laxmi Prasad
18. Binay Prasad, son of Laxmi Prasad
19. Bikash Prasad @ Patwari Lal, son of Laxmi Prasad
All under the guardianship of Laxmi Prasad, resident of Gopalganj, P.S.-Gopalganj, District-Gopalganj.
20. Binai Prasad, son of Asarfi Sah, resident of Village-Bari Bachra, P.S. & District-Gopalganj
21. Jagnath Prasad, son of Gaya Ram, resident of Village-Yadavpur Road, Gopalganj, Behind Triveni Press, District-Gopalganj

.... Defendant/Respondent/s/Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL ORDER



3 10-08-2017 Seeking restoration of First Appeal No. 174 of 1996 which was dismissed on 07.09.2001, this application has been filed for restoration on 08.11.2001.

The office has pointed out various defects in the matter and even though repeated time has been granted to the respondents, nothing has been done and the defects pointed out by the office have not been rectified. The office note indicates that after filing of this application, none is appearing even though the matter has been listed on various dates and when the case was taken up by the office on 03.07.2017 also, none had appeared. It seems that with the passage of time, nobody is interested in the matter.

The application is, therefore, dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

Shageer/-

U			
---	--	--	--

