

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.39612 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -205 Year- 2013 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

1. Md. Sarfaraj Son of Md. Farukh Resident of Village- Chainpur
(Nisarpur), P.O.- Kab, P.S.- Ranitalab, Dist.- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sahida Praveen Daughter of Md. Lukman Gani Resident of Village-
Misarichak, P.O.- Nawada, P.S.- Sahar, Dist.- Bhojpur.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. A.Dayal(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 06-09-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
counsel appearing on behalf of opposite party.

Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the husband and
wife are in some kind of understanding and there is every
possibility that they may finally compromise their dispute.

In view of the submission made by the counsel for the
petitioner, this application is being disposed of with liberty to the
party to file joint petition if the parties have entered into any kind
of compromise.

The Court below is expected to record compromise
irrespective of the offence is compoundable or not in view of the



Judgment of the Hon’ble Apex Court reported in ***(2013)4 SCC 58***
Jitendra Raghuvanshi Vs. Babita Raghuvanshi.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

