

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.635 of 2009

=====

Rabindra Nath Singh, son of Late Raghu Nath Singh, resident of Mohalla 7 HF, Block , 7 Flat No. 24, Bahadurpur Housing Colony, Police Station – Agamkuan, District Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority through its Managing Director, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna – 4
2. The Secretary, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhavan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna – 4
3. The Managing Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhavan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna – 4
4. The Executive Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority Udyog Bhavan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna – 4

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 11-05-2017 At the very outset, Sri Kumar Priya Ranjan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of all the respondents/ Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (hereinafter referred to as “B.I.A.D.A.”) points out that the issue which has been raised in the present writ petition was negated in an another writ petition by this Court, which was already approved by a Division Bench. The submission made by learned counsel for the respondents was not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner, however, he tried to persuade the Court that petitioner was appointed by the Government, and as such, petitioner’s case may not be equated with others’ cases. However, on going through the materials



available on record, the Court is satisfied that the petitioner was the employee of B.I.A.D.A. and similar relief which has been sought for in the present writ petition has already been rejected by a Single Bench and approved by a Division Bench. Accordingly there is no reason to pass any different order.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

