

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11400 of 2017

=====

Ramzan Ansari, son of Late Md. Yaar Ansari, Resident of Mohalla- Ward No. 16,
Police Station- Bhabua, District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The Director, Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, Department of Food and Public Distribution, Govt. of India, New Delhi
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Civil Supplies, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Pravesh Nath Tiwari, Advocate
Mr. Sandeep Shahi, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rampravesh Nath Tiwari, Advocate
Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, C.G.C.
Mr. Vishwambhar Prasad, A.C. to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 11-08-2017

Keeping in view the fact that the question as to whether the recommendations made in the policy laid down by the Central Government in its communication (Annexure-1) dated 17th of December, 2014 is a matter within the executive and policy making domain of the State Government and for the said purpose when the circular in question and the policy indicated therein is not a statutory one, it is not proper for this Court to enter into these areas of administration and issue a mandamus with regard to implementation of a policy matter. If advised, the petitioner may take up the issue with



the appropriate authorities of the State Government in the administrative side and seek redressal of his grievance.

With the aforesaid liberty to the petitioner, we dispose of the matter.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

P.K.P.

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	18.08.2017
Transmission Date	

