

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10694 of 2017

=====

Shiv Shankar Paswan Son of late Akchhay Paswan Resident of Village- Dudhiya,
Post- Khirma Pathara, P.S. Kamtaul, District- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Collector and District Magistrate, Darbhanga, District- Darbhanga.
3. The Licensing Authority-cum-Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Darbhanga, District- Darbhanga.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Labh, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. S. Raza Ahmad-AAG5

Mr. Alok Ranjan, AC to AAG 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 30-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for
the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following
reliefs –

“(A) For quashing and setting aside the order passed by
the Licensing Authority-Cum-Sub-Divisional Officer,
Sadar, Darbhanga vide Memo No. 1107 dated
28.11.2014 whereby and whereunder licence of the
petitioner’s Fair Price Shop bearing No. 42/07 has been
cancelled contained in Annexure-1 and quashing and
setting aside the order dated 17.02.2017 passed by the
learned Collector and District Magistrate, Darbhanga in
P.D.S. Appeal Case No. 08 of 2015 contained in
Annexure-10 whereby and whereunder appeal filed by
the petitioner against the cancellation order has been



rejected and affirmed the cancellation order.

(B) A mandamus commanding the Respondents to restore the petitioner's licence as before and to make allotment for the petitioner's shop.

(C) Any other relief or reliefs for which petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the present case may be granted to him".

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a short submission to assail the impugned order on the ground that a copy of the enquiry report was not made available to the petitioner and he was never confronted with the same with an opportunity of being heard or adducing evidence in that regard. A specific stand has been taken in paragraph 18 of the writ petition that the impugned order of cancellation of licence has been passed without providing a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner, though the same had been relied upon in the impugned order. Such infirmity could not be cured in the appeal as well.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard. The stand of the petitioner with regard to non-supply of enquiry report has not been controverted, as no counter affidavit has been filed till date.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is satisfied that non-supply of the enquiry report to the petitioner has resulted in violation of natural justice and thus the decision making process stands vitiated.



The impugned order dated 28.11.2014 (Annexure-1) as well as the appellate order dated 17.02.2017 (Annexure-10) are hereby quashed and the matter is remanded to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Darbhanga for taking decision afresh in the matter after supplying a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner and granting an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. Supplies to the petitioner shall be restored without delay until fresh orders are passed by the respondent no. 3.

6. It is made clear that in case the stand of the petitioner denying receipt of the enquiry report prior to order of cancellation being passed is found to be incorrect, the respondents shall be at liberty to approach this Court for recall of this judgment.

7. The writ petition stands allowed as above.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	06.12.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

