

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6903 of 2016

=====

Babban Prasad Singh Son of Late Bindeshwari Singh, Proprietor of Hitech Engineering, resident of Variety Complex, Sheopur, Mahendru, P.S. - Pirbahore, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director-in-Chief, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Superintendent, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-11-2017

The present writ petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents to make payment of the admitted dues of Rs. 2,99,83,559/-; together with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite completion of the work and submission of bills, a considerable amount of Rs. 2,99,83,559/- still remains outstanding.

3. None appears on behalf of the respondents when the matter is called.

4. Having regard to the nature of the prayer of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the Superintendent, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna (respondent no. 3) with a fresh representation for



redressal of his grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of, ensuring payment to the extent found due to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving the petitioner’s representation. Any delay in payment of the admitted amount beyond the stipulated period as stated above, shall entitle the petitioner to receive payment together with simple interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the admitted dues calculated from the date when the amount became due till the date of its actual payment. In case the petitioner’s claim is found inadmissible, whether in whole or in part, the petitioner’s representation shall be disposed of by a speaking order in that regard.

5. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	13.11.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

