

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12888 of 2017

=====

Patna Zila Sudhar Sammittee Through Its General Secretary, Rakesh Kapoor S/o
Lt. R.P. Khatri, Resident of Harimandir Gali, P.S. Chowk, Patna City, District
Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Art, Culture & Youth, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Deptt. of Tourism, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Patna.
7. The District Magistrate, Saran.
8. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
9. The Sub Divisional Officer, Patna City.
10. The D.C.L.R., Patna.
11. The Circle Officer, Patna, Sadar.
12. The Circle Officer, Saran
13. The Block Development Officer, Patna City.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 14-11-2017

Apart from the fact that the issue involved in this writ
petition is cognizable by the National Green Tribunal, we find that the
petitioner himself does not have any *locus standi* to raise the issue in
the PIL. Neither the petitioner is a registered society nor body or
individual which can invoke the jurisdiction of this Court under
Article 226 of the Constitution. Cumulatively taking note of all these



factors, we are not inclined to interfere into the matter.

The petition is dismissed with liberty to the aggrieved person to take recourse of the remedy permissible under the law.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	17.11.2017
Transmission Date	NA

