

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18792 of 2016

=====

Ram Naresh Singh Son of Birader Singh Resident of Village- Gurmah,
P.S+District- Nawada, Presently Chairman, Primary Agriculture Credit Society,
Samai, District- Nawada.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Cooperative Department,
Patna.
2. The Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Cooperative Officer, Nawada.
4. The Managing Director, Nawada Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Nawada.
5. Dr. Laxmi Narayan Singh Son of late Ramautar Singh Chairman, Board of
Directors of Nawada Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Nawada.
6. Kailash Prasad Singh Vice Chairman, Board of Director, Nawada Central
Cooperative Bank Ltd. Nawada.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Choudhary, Advocate Mr. Gopal Bohra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Swapnil Kumar, AC to GP 19
For the Bank	:	Mr. Purshottam Jha, Advocate Mr. Vikash Kumar Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The present writ application has been filed by the
Chairman of one of the P.A.C.S. of Nawada Central Cooperative
Bank Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as the 'Bank') assailing the order of
the Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Bihar, Patna in Appeal Case No.
40 of 2016 contained in Memo No. 308/RL dated 20.04.2016. The
petitioner has assailed the order to the extent where it has been held
that the Chairman of the Board has not been removed from his post.



In view of the judgment of this Court in the case of **Dr. Laxmi Narayan Singh vs. the State of Bihar & Ors. (C.W.J.C. No. 8559 of 2016)** passed today (08.02.2017), holding the very meeting in which the Resolution, which is sought to be supported by the petitioner in the present case has been passed, to be totally illegal, and the same having been set aside, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed in terms of the reasons recorded in the said order.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Prakash/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	

