

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.379 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 15514 of 2014

- =====
1. Nawal Kishore Sharma Son of Sri Bir Bahadur Sharma , resident of Mohalla - Rajapur Mainpura, P.O. - Mainpura, P.S. - Patliputra, District - Patna
 2. Manoj Kumar Son of Sri Bindeshwari Sharma resident of Village - Haibaspur, P.O. - Shihari, P.S. - Hanspura, District - Aurangabad
 3. Sri Niwas , Son of Sri Chandeshwar Sharma Resident of Village - Daudnagar, P.O. - Daud Nagar, District - Aurangabad
 4. Brajesh Sharma Son of Late Kamlesh Sharma resident of Village - Areda, P.O. - Gamhari, P.S. - Uphara, District - Aurangabad
 5. Dilip Kumar Yadav , Son of Sri Prabhu Nath Yadav , resident of Village - Mohammadpur, P.O. - Sarsar, District - Siwan
 6. Dharendra Kumar Singh Son of Ram Bachan Singh , resident of Mohalla - MIG 20 Dharamshila Sadan Hanuman Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna - 20
 7. Manoj Kumar Singh , Son of Nagendra Singh , resident of Village - Dumari, P.O. - Kanaar Hariharpur, District - Chapra
 8. Ashok Kumar Singh , Son of Late Salik Singh , resident of Village - Amthakhem, P.O. - Larat Bazar Gopalganj

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary , Sri Brajesh Mahrotra, Health Services , Government of Bihar, Patna
2. Sri R.D. Ranjan, The Director in Chief, Health Services , Government of Bihar, Patna
3. Sri Sudhir Kumar, the Chairman, Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna
4. Sri Parsuram Ram, The Secretary, Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bajarangi Lal

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram

Mr. Anuj Kumar, AC to GP-24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 13-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

The counsel for the petitioners submits that in



pursuance of the order dated 12.09.2014 passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 15514 of 2014, the respondent-authority has passed the order, from which the petitioners are aggrieved so he makes a prayer that the petitioners should be given liberty to challenge the order of the respondent-authority in the proper proceeding.

Permission is granted. As the respondent-authority has carried out the order, not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, the petitioners if so advised, may challenge the order in appropriate proceeding before the appropriate Forum/Court/Authority.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

