

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.169 of 2017**

=====

Sri Motilal Sinha Kanan son of Late Bhola Rai, resident of Mohalla Dak
Bungala Road Hajipur, P.S. Town Hajipur, District Vaishali.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. Shalini Kumari wife of late Atul Krishna Kanan D/O late Pramod Kumar at present residing in Mohalla- Ramjiwan Chowk Virkuer Singh Colony (South) P.S. Town Hajipur, District Vaishali.
2. Anand Kanan @ Lakshya Kanan S/O Late Atul Krishna Kanan at present residing under guardianship of his grand father namely Motilal Sinha Kanan and grand mother namely Urmila Kanan in Mohalla Dak Bungala Road Hajipur P.S. Hajipur Town, District Vaishali.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Uday Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 30-01-2017

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perused the impugned order dated 20.12.2016 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Vaishali in Guardianship Case No. 6 of 2013/ 2 of 2014, whereby the court below has closed the evidence of the petitioner.

From perusal of the impugned order, it appears that the court below has assigned reasons for closing the evidence of the petitioner. The court below observed that since 19.12.2015 liberty has been given to the petitioner to produce witnesses and, in fact, it had examined eight witnesses including the petitioner himself.

Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned order in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction and accordingly, this civil miscellaneous application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

